REMARKS

- " " "

<u>Drawings</u>

In the Office Action dated October 28, 2005, the Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) stating the drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims.

The objection to the drawings is respectfully traversed. Figure 33 shows a first additional extension (26uu) which at least partially overlaps the first extension (26u) and a second additional extension (40uu) which at least partially overlaps the second extension (40u) and wherein the first additional extension (26uu) has a first edge which forms a first additional upper peripheral edge in the skirt and the second additional extension (40uu) has a first edge which forms a second additional upper peripheral edge in the skirt.

Applicant's claim 1 therefore, fully complies with 37 CFR 1.121 (d) and as such, Applicant respectfully requests that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

In the Office Action dated October 28, 2005, the Examiner allowed claims 1-8 and 10-18 over the art of record.

Applicant appreciates Examiner's willingness to allow said claims.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that this application, as now amended, is in condition for allowance for the reasons stated above. Therefore, it is requested that the Examiner reconsider the objection to the drawings now pending in the application and issue a notice of allowance.

This amendment is intended to be a complete response to the Office Action dated October 28, 2005. In the event that any outstanding issues remain that would delay the allowance of this application, the examiner is urged to contact the undersigned to *telephonically* discuss such outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas J. Sorocco, Reg. No. 43,145

DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS, P.C.

P.O. Box 16370

Oklahoma City, OK 73113

Customer No. 30589

(405) 607-8600 Office

(405) 607-8686 Facsimile

Attorney for Applicant

doug sorocco@okpatents.com